Algorithmic Information Theory

- We have seen some ways to treat information (flow), starting from the early syntactic approach of Claude Shannon. It was related to technical matters of his day.
- Today another *syntactic* approach is prominent: Gregory Chaitin's Algorithmic Information Theory. It is related to matters of computer programming, i.e. it too is related to technical matters of today.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



A Theory of Information Content

- Algorithmic Information Theory (AIT) is a theory of information content, not of information flow. It deals with word strings.
- The basic measure is the same like in the original syntactic approach: bits.
- But AIT focuses not simply on the coding scheme but on matters of generating a word string by a program.

• It is related to complexity theory.

the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Information Content (Outline)

- A string has some measure in bits.
- The information content of a string is the *length of the shortest program (in bits)* which is needed to *generate* the string.
- The length of the shortest program for a string is also its *complexity*.
- (For practical purposes a version of LISP is used to have a working model of AIT.)

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Randomness

• A finite string of length n can be "programmed" by having it simply printed (with length n+k,

k being the length in bits of the minimal code to print it).

- The real problem are *infinite* strings. [What does this tell us about the applicability of AIT? AIT's most famous result (a random number in Arithmetic) deals with meta-mathematics!]
- A string is *random* if the size of the shortest program for it, if there is any, is not shorter than the string itself.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Randomness (Some Details)

- *Most* strings are random, since there are more strings than well-formed programs.
- There are 2ⁿ strings of length n, and less than 2^{n-k} programs of length less than n-k. Thus the number of strings of length n and complexity less than n-k decreases *exponentially* as k increases.
- So the *great majority* of strings of length n are of complexity very close to n.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Algorithmic Information Content (Definitions and Theorems)

- Definition 1. A computer is a partial recursive function C(p). Its argument p is a binary string. The value of C(p) is the binary string output by C given the program p. If C(p) is undefined the computation does not halt.
- Definition 2. The complexity $I_C(s)$ of a binary string is defined to be the length of the shortest program p that makes the computer C output s, i.e. $I_C(s) = \min_{C(p)=s} lg(p)$
- Definition 3. A random binary string s is one having the property that $I(s) \approx lg(s)$.
- Theorem 1. There is a constant c such that $I(s) \le lg(s) + c$ for all s.
- Theorem 2. There are less than 2ⁿ binary strings of complexity less than n.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



A Kind of Berry Paradox

- Suppose you want to know whether a given string is random. Can you prove it to be so?
- Say you want to find "the first string that can be proven to be of complexity greater than 100000000". There is always a program log(n+c) bits long that can calculate the first string that can be proven to be of complexity greater than n (a proof checker).

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



A Kind of Berry Paradox (II)

- Given this program and large n the test code's length log(n+c) will be less than n.
- It is absurd for a string not to have a program of length n *and* to have one (vis. the test code). Such a string cannot exist!
- So: For all sufficiently great values of n it cannot be proven that a *particular* string is of complexity greater than n.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Incompleteness

Given this result we arrive at a sort of incompleteness: for a formal system with n+c bits of axioms it is possible to determine each string of complexity less than n and the complexity of each of these strings, and it is possible to exhibit each string of complexity equal or greater than n, *without* being able to know by how much the complexity of each of these strings exceeds n.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Algorithmic Probability

AIT introduces a 2nd complexity measure that includes all programs which compute a string s.That is the probability that a program the binary code of which is produced by coin tossing generates s. It is:

 $P(s) = \sum_{C(p)=s} 2^{-|p|}$ i.e. each program of length k producing s adds 2 to the minus k to the algorithmic probability of s.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Further Concepts

- Given algorithmic probability further properties of it and of algorithmic information content can be investigated in AIT, for example: relative complexity of two strings, mutual complexity, algorithmic independence, and so on.
- We won't go into the details. The basic idea here is that of algorithmic information content.

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information



Sources

- Chaitin has written several books and lots of papers on AIT (many of them with the same content), most of which are available online (http://www.umcs.maine.edu/~chaitin/). See, for example:
- *The Unknowable*. [a popular overview]
- Algorithmic Information Theory. 1997³.
- Information, Randomness and Incompleteness. 1997². [a collection of his papers]

Centre for the Study of Logic, Language, and Information

